

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

---

**ALFREDO B LOPEZ**  
Claimant

**SWIFT PORK COMPANY**  
Employer

**APPEAL 20A-UI-11984-AD-T  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 04/19/20  
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

---

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Eligibility – Able to and Available for Work  
Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

On September 26, 2020, Alfredo Lopez (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the August 5, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits as of April 19, 2020 based on a finding claimant was not partially unemployed.

A telephone hearing was held on December 1, 2020. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Claimant participated personally. Employer did not register a number for the hearing and did not participate.

Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

**ISSUE(S):**

- I. Is the appeal timely?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the above address on August 5, 2020. That was claimant's correct address on that date. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by August 15, 2020. However, if the due date falls on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. The deadline was therefore extended to August 17, 2020. Claimant appealed the decision online on September 26, 2020.

Claimant is living with his brother. His brother checks the mail and puts it on the table with other items. Claimant does not regularly go through the mail to see if there is mail for him. Claimant does not know when the decision arrived or how long it was between when he became aware of the decision and when he filed the appeal.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The administrative law judge therefore lacks jurisdiction to change the decision that was appealed. That decision remains in full force and effect.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: “[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.”

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
  - (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
  - (b)
  - (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. *Messina v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service*, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (Iowa 1983); *Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. *E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471 (Iowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that “the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.”

Claimant is living with his brother. His brother checks the mail and puts it on the table with other items. Claimant does not regularly go through the mail to see if there is mail for him. Claimant

does not know when the decision arrived or how long it was between when he became aware of the decision and when he filed the appeal.

Any delay by claimant was due to his decision not to check his mail on a regular and frequent basis and not due to any agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely and, therefore, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

**DECISION:**

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The administrative law judge therefore lacks jurisdiction to change the decision that was appealed. That decision remains in full force and effect.



---

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer  
Administrative Law Judge  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209  
Fax (515) 478-3528

December 7, 2020  
Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/scn

**Note to Claimant:**

If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If this decision denies benefits, you may be responsible for paying back benefits already received.

Individuals who are disqualified from or are otherwise ineligible for **regular** unemployment insurance benefits but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.