IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

LANA M WOODSIDE

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 11A-UI-06049-DT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

JELD-WEN INC

Employer

OC: 04/03/11

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jeld-Wen, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's April 27, 2011 decision (reference 01) that concluded Lana M. Woodside (claimant) qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 13, 2011. The claimant participated in the hearing. Connie Hickerson of TALX Employer Services appeared on the employer's behalf and presented testimony from two witnesses, Brent Mintle and Virginia Kirkpatrick. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit for a good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant started working for the employer on June 21, 2011. She worked full-time as a customer care representative in the employer's Grinnell, Iowa, call center. Her last day of work was April 1. She tendered her notice of resignation to the employer on March 17. The only reason she stated for her resignation at the time was that she wished to pursue other interests. Her actual reason for quitting was that she felt that she was making too many errors, which she attributed to not having received enough training or being given sufficient directives. The claimant felt she was getting behind and felt overwhelmed, particularly when she was switching between taking phone calls and then trying to switch back to working on a previously opened file. She then felt that the feeling of being overwhelmed caused her to make further errors.

The employer had given her a documented verbal warning for errors on February 22. However, her job was not in imminent jeopardy; normally, additional warnings would be given prior to discharge. In March, shortly before turning in her resignation, the claimant had made a request of the branch manager, Mr. Mintle, to have further discussions with her regarding her performance. Shortly thereafter, on March 16, Mr. Mintle sent the claimant an email expressing

concern regarding errors on a significant account. As a result of this further error, the claimant determined to resign, turning in her resignation notice on March 17.

The claimant established a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective April 3, 2011. The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

If the claimant voluntarily quit her employment, she is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits unless it was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship and an action to carry out that intent. Bartelt v. Employment Appeal Board, 494 N.W.2d 684 (Iowa 1993); Wills v. Employment Appeal Board, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). The claimant did express or exhibit the intent to cease working for the employer and did act to carry it out. The claimant would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits unless she voluntarily quit for good cause.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code § 96.6-2.

871 IAC 24.25(33) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(33) The claimant left because such claimant felt that the job performance was not to the satisfaction of the employer; provided, the employer had not requested the claimant to leave and continued work was available.

Leaving because of a dissatisfaction with the work environment or a personality conflict with a supervisor is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(21), (22). Quitting because a reprimand has been given is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(28). The claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to conclude that a reasonable person would find the employer's work environment detrimental or intolerable. O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Board, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993); Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission, 277 So.2d 827 (FL App. 1973). The claimant has not satisfied her burden. Benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be

recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was ineligible for those benefits. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the claimant is eligible for a waiver of overpayment under lowa Code § 96.3-7-b is remanded the Claims Section.

DECISION:

The representative's April 27, 2011 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. As of April 1, 2011, benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Lawrence A. F. Dannara

Lynette A. F. Donner Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/kjw