# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**JEFF S PLILER** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 24A-UI-04066-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**NEUMANN BROTHERS INC** 

Employer

OC: 03/31/24

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Neumann Brothers Inc, the employer/appellant,<sup>1</sup> appealed the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) April 18, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. IWD found Mr. Pliler eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded the employer dismissed him from employment on March 14, 2024 for a reason that did not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. On April 24, 2024, the Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing (DIAL), UI Appeals Bureau mailed a notice of hearing to the employer and Mr. Pliler for a telephone hearing scheduled for May 7, 2024.

The administrative law judge held a telephone hearing on May 7, 2024. The employer participated in the hearing through Erin Davis, payroll accountant, and Shad Owens, project superintendent. Mr. Pliler participated in the hearing personally. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record and admitted Employer's Exhibits 1-5 as evidence.

The administrative law judge concludes Mr. Pliler is eligible for UI benefits since the employer has not established that it ended his employment for disqualifying, job-related misconduct.

# **ISSUES:**

Did the employer discharge Mr. Pliler from employment for disqualifying job-related misconduct? Did IWD overpay Mr. Pliler UI benefits? If so, should he repay the benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Pliler began working for the employer in June 2023. He worked as a full-time carpenter journeyman. His employment ended on March 14, 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appellant is the person or employer who appealed.

On March 14, Mr. Owens, Mr. Pliler, and another employee (Employee A) were working on a project together. Mr. Owens left to complete another task and told Mr. Pliler and Employee A to continue working. Employee A then began working on a door. When Mr. Owens returned, he saw Employee A working on a door. Employee A damaged the door while working on the door. Mr. Owens wanted Mr. Pliler to work on the door. Mr. Owens asked Mr. Pliler why Employee A was working on the door and not him. Mr. Pliler explained that Employee A took it upon themself to work on the door. Mr. Owens terminated Mr. Pliler's employment because the door was damaged, and he had given Mr. Pliler a verbal warning two days prior for poor work performance.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer discharged Mr. Pliler from employment on March 14, 2024 for a reason that does not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provide, in relevant part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct.<sup>2</sup> The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant from employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.<sup>3</sup> Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.<sup>4</sup>

In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984).

of the employer's policy or rule. A violation of the employer's policy or rule is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy.

The most recent incident leading the employer to discharge Mr. Pliler must be a current act of misconduct to disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. The most recent act for which the employer terminated Mr. Pliler's employment was because Employee A damaged the door. This is not misconduct on the part of Mr. Pliler. Since the employer has not established disqualifying, job-related misconduct on the part of Mr. Pliler, he is eligible for UI benefits, as long as no other decision denies him UI benefits.

Since Mr. Pliler is eligible for REGULAR (state) UI benefits per this decision, the issues of overpayment and repayment are moot. An issue being moot means there is nothing left to decide.<sup>5</sup>

## **DECISION:**

The April 18, 2024, (reference 01) UI decision is AFFIRMED. The employer discharged Mr. Pliler from employment on March 14, 2024 for a reason that does not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. Mr. Pliler is eligible for UI benefits, as long as no other decision denies him UI benefits.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

Amalora

May 9, 2024

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

scn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Iowa Bankers Ass'n v. Iowa Credit Union Dep't, 335 N.W.2d 439, 442 (Iowa 1983).

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with this decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

#### **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.