IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DONOVAN J ARMSTRONG PO BOX 104 ALBANY IL 61230 0104

CUSTOM-PAK INC – LP2 STACY GRELL 86 16TH AVE N CLINTON IA 52732

DONOVAN J ARMSTRONG 7130 COVELL RD FULTON IL 61252

APPEAL 21A-UI-20018-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

APPEAL RIGHTS:

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the mailing date below the administrative law judge's signature on the last page of the decision, you or any interested party:

Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

ONLINE RESOURCES:

UI law and administrative rules:

https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-law-and-administrative-rules UI Benefits Handbook:

 $\underline{\text{https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-claim} \\ \text{and} \underline{\text{book}}$

Employer UI Handbook: https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/employer-handbook

Report UI fraud: https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/report-fraud

Employer account access and information: https://www.myiowaui.org/UITIPTaxWeb/
National Career Readiness Certificate and Skilled lowa Initiative: https://skillediowa.org/

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DONOVAN J ARMSTRONG

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-20018-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CUSTOM-PAKINC

Employer

OC: 11/17/19

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.23(26) - Availability Disqualifications Same Hours and Wages

lowa Code § 96.1A(37) – Total and Partial Unemployment

lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) - Same Base Period Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Donovan J. Armstrong, filed an appeal from the October 28, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the determination that claimant was employed to such an extent that he was not eligible for unemployment benefits the week of July 12, 2020. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 1, 2021, and was consolidated with the hearings for appeal numbers 21A-UI-20013-AR-T, 21A-UI-20019-AR-T, 21A-UI-20020-AR-T, 21A-UI-20022-AR-T, and 21A-UI-20023-AR-T. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through Erin Fulton. Claimant's Exhibits A and B were admitted. Department's Exhibit D-1 was admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is the claimant's appeal timely?
Is claimant able to and available for work?
Is claimant temporarily or partially unemployed?
If so, is the employer's account subject to charge?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A disqualification decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on October 28, 2020. Claimant does not remember receiving the decision. The first sentence of the decision states, "If this decision denies benefits and is not reversed on appeal, it may result in an overpayment which you will be required to repay." The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by November 7, 2020. The appeal was not filed until September 8, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Claimant filed his appeal in response to later overpayment decisions he received.

Claimant began working full time as an operator on August 15, 2018. He remains employed with the employer in that capacity as of the date of his hearing.

In July 2020, claimant's family members, with whom he lives, were diagnosed with COVID-19. He got a letter from the county health department, dated July 23, 2020, that excused him from work until August 10, 2020. His leave from work began July 21, 2020.

Claimant began filing for unemployment insurance benefits effective July 12, 2020. However, he had not stopped working at that time. He was still working and receiving wages for his full-time hours that week. Claimant believed that he filed because of a misunderstanding about unemployment benefits.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the appellant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was

due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

The appellant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See Smith v. lowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). The claimant timely appealed the overpayment decision, which was the first notice of disqualification. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The next issue to be determined is whether claimant was totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed the week of July 12, 2020. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant was not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed, and benefits are denied.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Code section 96.1A(37) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

lowa Code section 96.7(2)a(2)(a), (b), and (c) provides:

- 2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.
- a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.
- (a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (c) The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

For the week of July 12, 2020, claimant was still working and earning his standard wages for that work. He worked full time that week and did not begin his period of leave until the following week. Accordingly, for the week of July 12, 2020, claimant was not eligible to receive unemployment benefits, and benefits are denied. Because claimant was not totally or partially unemployed, the issues of ability to and availability for work and chargeability are moot.

DECISION:

The October 28, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant was not totally or partially unemployed the week of July 12, 2020, and benefits are denied. The issues of ability to work and chargeability are moot at this time.

Alexis D. Rowe Administrative Law Judge

AuDR

ar/scn