IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

HENRY M LESS
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-12358-S2T
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION

HY-VEE INC
Employer

OC: 09/16/12
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Henry Less (claimant) appealed a representative's October 10, 2012 decision (reference 02) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Hy-Vee (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for November 8, 2012. The claimant participated personally. The employer was represented by John Fiorelli, hearings representative, and participated by Jackie Kuennen, human resource manager, and Nicholas Burke, manager of perishables.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant worked for the employer from March 15, 2006, to November 16, 2011, as a night stock clerk. On November 16, 2011, the manager of perishables verbally reprimanded the claimant for putting pallets in a trailer. The claimant did not return to work after November 16, 2011. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge finds the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his actions. He stopped appearing for work and quit work. When an employee quits work after having been reprimanded, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work after having been reprimanded. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

bas/kiw

The representative's October 10, 2012 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed