

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**REBECCA S GARCIA**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 10A-EUCU-00653-AT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 11/29/09**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 96.3-5-b – Eligibility for Training Extension Benefits

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Rebecca S. Garcia filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated July 16, 2010, reference 06, that denied her request for training extension benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held August 30, 2010 with Ms. Garcia participating.

**ISSUE:**

Is the claimant eligible for training extension benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Rebecca S. Garcia filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective November 29, 2009. The last employer before filing her claim for unemployment insurance benefits was Colburn & Son, Inc. Ms. Garcia worked there as an administrative assistant. She voluntarily left employment because the cost of daycare for her children made working for the company unprofitable.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The question is whether the claimant is eligible for training extension benefits. She is not.

The eligibility requirements for training extension benefits are found in Iowa Code section 96.3-5-b. An individual is eligible only if the individual is laid off or voluntarily separated from a declining occupation or involuntarily separated as a result of a permanent reduction in operations. Ms. Garcia testified that she voluntarily left employment with Colburn & Son because of personal reasons. There is no evidence in the record that the occupation of administrative assistant is a declining occupation. Benefits must be withheld.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated July 16, 2010, reference 06, is affirmed. The claimant is not eligible for training extension benefits.

---

Dan Anderson  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css