# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**COREY MCCLENDON** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-17877-ET** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

LABOR READY MIDWEST INC

Employer

OC: 10-04-09

Claimant: Respondent (1)

871 IAC 24.26(19) - Voluntary Quit - Spot Jobs

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 20, 2009, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on January 6, 2010. The claimant did not respond to the hearing notice and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Jessica Spinello, Multi-Branch Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily guit his employment.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a part-time spot laborer for Labor Ready Midwest from April 22, 2009 to May 4, 2009. He worked a one-day assignment as a day laborer for the City of Hiawatha May 4, 2009. He did not report for another assignment the following morning or any day after May 4, 2009.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The claimant fulfilled his contract of hire at the completion of his one-day assignment to the City of Hiawatha May 4, 2009. His decision not to report for a new assignment is not considered a voluntary quit under lowa law. Therefore, benefits must be allowed.

### **DECISION:**

The November 20, 2009, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
je/pjs	