## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
TERRY M GASKIN Claimant	APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-06713-NT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	
	OC: 01/11/09 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.3-7 – Benefit Overpayment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the April 21, 2009, reference 04, decision that held that he was overpaid job insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,766.00 for 11 weeks between January 11, 2009 and March 28, 2009 because of an administrative law decision dated April 6, 2009. After due notice a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on May 27, 2009. The claimant participated personally.

### **ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether Terry Gaskin has been overpaid job insurance benefits.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The overpayment issue in this case was created by a disqualification decision dated April 6, 2009 by an administrative law judge. That decision was not appealed to the Employment Appeal Board and became final.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,766.00 for 11 weeks between January 11, 2009 and March 28, 2009 because a disqualification for benefits was subsequently imposed by an administrative law judge's decision dated April 6, 2009. That decision was not appealed and became final. The claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits pursuant to section 96.3-7 of the Iowa Employment Security Act. As the decision creating the disqualification decision has become final, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.3-7.

# **DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated April 21, 2009, reference 04, is affirmed. The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,766.00.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

pjs/pjs