IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ERIC DEATON

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-05706-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Employer

OC: 01/17/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the February 11, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 28, 2021, at 8:00 a.m. Claimant participated. Employer participated through John Soete, Hearing Representative, and Meredith Ohrt, Human Resources Generalist. No exhibits were admitted.

ISSUE:

Whether claimant's separation was a voluntary guit without good cause attributable to employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time Research Technologist from October 2, 2017 until his employment with lowa State University ended on January 8, 2021, when he resigned with immediate effect. Claimant provided a written resignation to employer the following week. The letter did not provide a reason for claimant's resignation. During a meeting between claimant and employer on January 5, 2021, claimant expressed personal concerns, frustration with equipment breaking and feeling less engaged in his work. Employer had continuing work available. Claimant's job was not in jeopardy.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily quit his employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides: An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, if the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989). A

voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. lowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). The standard of what a reasonable person would have believed under the circumstances is applied in determining whether a claimant left work voluntarily with good cause attributable to the employer. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (lowa 1993).

Where a claimant gives numerous reasons for leaving employment the agency is required to consider all stated reasons which might combine to give the claimant good cause to quit in determining any of those reasons constitute good cause attributable to the employer. Taylor v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 362 N.W.2d 534 (lowa 1985).

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(2), (4) provide:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (2) The claimant left due to unsafe working conditions.
- (4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

It is the duty of the administrative law judge, as the trier of fact, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. Arndt v. City of LeClaire, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (lowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. State v. Holtz, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (lowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. Id. In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other evidence you believe; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. Id.

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I find the claimant's testimony that he quit due to safety concerns to lack credibility. Claimant first brought his concerns about a material to employer's attention in April 2020. Employer removed the material from the workplace. In June 2020, claimant noticed that lab equipment had not been cleaned since the material had been removed. Claimant reported it to employer; employer investigated and determined that no further action needed to be taken. Claimant continued working for six months prior to quitting. When claimant met with human resources to discuss his employment, he did not mention his safety concerns. Claimant's testimony that the safety concerns were the primary reason for quitting lacks credibility.

Claimant's verbal and written resignations are both evidence of his intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act of carrying out his intention. Claimant voluntarily quit his employment. Claimant brought issues to employer's attention immediately prior to quitting; none of those reasons constitute good cause attributable to employer. Claimant has not established that he quit due to unsafe, intolerable or detrimental working conditions. While claimant may have had a good personal reason for quitting his employment, he has not met his burden of proving that he quit for good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The February 11, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

lowa Workforce Development

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

May 07, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/ol