IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

AFRICA S PRUNEDA Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-06963-DGT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AEROTEK INC Employer

> OC: 04/10/16 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Failure to Accept Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the June 16, 2016 (reference 04) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon refusing an offer of work. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on July 12, 2016. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate.

ISSUES:

Was a suitable offer of work made to the claimant?

If so, did the claimant fail to accept and was the failure to do so for a good cause reason?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Employer made an offer of work to claimant on May 6, 2016. The wage offered for the job is \$14.00 an hour, working part time with night and evening hours. The wage is 75 percent of claimant's average weekly wage. The offer was made in the sixth week of unemployment.

The offer included evening and nighttime hours. Claimant is a single mother and cannot work after 5:00 p.m. Claimant never agreed to work at night, and she was not seeking work with those hours.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's offer of work was not suitable.

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. (1) In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(a) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(b) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(c) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(d) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(2) However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The offer was unsuitable, as the hours of work offered were at night when claimant's child required her to be at home. It is not reasonable to require a single parent to work during evening and nighttime hours when the parent is required by Iowa law to care for their child. Claimant's job history does not include nighttime hours, and she did not hold herself out as a person who was available to work at night.

DECISION:

The June 16, 2016 (reference 04) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The offer of work was not suitable. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/can