# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ANGELA M NELSON** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-08769-NT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**ABM JANITORIAL SERVICES NORTH** 

Employer

OC: 05/22/11

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from a representative's decision dated June 22, 2011, reference 01, which held the claimant eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held on July 26, 2011. Although the claimant submitted a telephone number, she was not available at the telephone number provided. Two messages were left for the claimant with no response. The employer participated by Ms. Sandra Linsin, hearing representative, and witnesses Angela Lavin and Amanda McNeal.

### ISSUE:

At issue is whether the claimant left employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having considered the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Angela Nelson was employed by ABM Janitorial Services North from May 7, 2010, until March 30, 2011, when she was separated from employment for failing to report or provide notification to the employer for three consecutive workdays. Ms. Nelson worked as a full-time crew leader and was paid by the hour. Her immediate supervisor was Amanda McNeal.

Ms. Nelson called in on Thursday, March 24, and Friday, March 25, indicating that she was ill and would not be reporting for work those days. The claimant was not scheduled to work over the weekend. The following week, the claimant did not report for scheduled work on Monday, March 28; Tuesday, March 29; or Wednesday, March 30, and did not provide any notification to the employer regarding her absences for those three consecutive workdays. After the claimant had failed to report for work and had not provided any notification to the employer for three consecutive workdays, the employer considered the claimant had abandoned her job with the company.

Appeal No. 11A-UI-08769-NT

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

# 871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. Inasmuch as the claimant failed to report for work or notify the employer for three consecutive workdays in violation of the employer's policy, the claimant is considered to have voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in

the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The question of whether the claimant must repay unemployment insurance benefits is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division for a determination.

#### **DECISION:**

The representative's decision dated June 22, 2011, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such times as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The question of whether the claimant must repay unemployment insurance benefits is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division for a determination.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
kjw/kjw	