# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ALAN A LAMBERTH

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-14723-DG-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HEARTLAND EXPRESS INC OF IOWA

Employer

OC: 03/28/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated June 22, 2021, (reference 01) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on September 20, 2021. Claimant participated personally along with his witness Cladys Lamberth. Employer participated by Rebekah Ackerman, Human Resources Specialist. Claimant's Exhibits 1-64 were admitted into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

#### ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant sustained an injury that was not related to work. He was not able to work beginning on March 28, 2021.

Claimant began working for employer as a full-time truck driver on April 8, 2011. Clamant last worked for employer on February 25, 2021. Claimant was not able to return to work after that date because he suffered an injury outside of work.

Claimant sought medical treatment, and he is currently not able to do any work because of the severity of his injury. Claimant has not been released back to any type of work as of the date of this hearing.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective March 28, 2021.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

**Availability disqualifications.** The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (lowa 1993); Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged, 468 N.W.2d 223 (lowa 1991); lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." Sierra at 723. The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (lowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (lowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

Inasmuch as the medical condition is not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work with or without restriction, he has not established his ability to work.

Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a medical release to return to some type of work of which he is capable of performing given his education, training and work experience, and any medical restrictions. At that point, there must be an evaluation of whether employment, with reasonable accommodation if appropriate, is available.

**Note to Claimant:** If this decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits and you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <a href="https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information">https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information</a>. If this decision becomes final, or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

### **DECISION:**

The June 22, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective March 28, 2021. Benefits are denied.

Duane L. Golden

Administrative Law Judge

and I. Holdly

October 26, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn