IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RAYMOND H HACK

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-03821-AT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WINDOR INC

Employer

OC: 05/03/09

Claimant: Appellant (5)

Section 96.5-7 - Vacation Pay

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Raymond H. Hack filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated March 5, 2010, reference 03, that ruled he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending May 9, 2009 upon a finding that he had received vacation pay for the week in question. Due notice was issued for a telephone hearing to be held April 26, 2010. After reviewing all matters of record, the administrative law judge concludes that a formal hearing is not required.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant receive vacation pay for the week ending May 9, 2009?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: Raymond H. Hack received vacation pay from Windor, Inc. for the week ending May 9, 2009. He properly reported the vacation pay when he filed his weekly claim. He filed his appeal because he feared the agency would rule later that he had been overpaid for that week.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether Mr. Hack was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending May 9, 2009. He was not.

lowa Code section 96.5-7 requires that vacation pay be deducted dollar for dollar from an individual's unemployment insurance benefits for the week or weeks to which the vacation pay should be attributed. The evidence establishes that the employer reported vacation pay for Mr. Hack for the week ending May 9, 2009 and that Mr. Hack properly reported it. He received no benefits. There has been no overpayment. There was no reason for the fact-finding decision of March 5, 2010 to be issued.

Page 2 Appeal No. 10A-UI-03821-AT

DECISION:

The	unemploymei	nt insurance	decision	dated	March	5, 2	2010, r	reference	03,	is modifie	ed.	The
claim	ant reported	vacation pay	for the w	eek en	iding Ma	ıy 9,	2009	. He has	not l	been over	paid.	

Dan Anderson

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

pjs/pjs