

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

JANETTA M DUNLAP
Claimant

PATHWAY LIVING CENTER INC
Employer

APPEAL 21A-UI-05291-DZ-T
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 05/10/20
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal
Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(10) – Leave of Absence

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Janetta M Dunlap, the claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the July 23, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits as of May 10, 2020. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 21, 2021. Ms. Dunlap participated and testified. The employer participated through Melissa Peterson. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Did Ms. Dunlap file her appeal on time?
Is Ms. Dunlap able to and available for work?
Is Ms. Dunlap on a leave of absence?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Dunlap at the correct address on July 23, 2020. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by August 2, 2020. Ms. Dunlap received the decision in the mail.

Ms. Dunlap later received a different Iowa Workforce Development decision, dated February 5 2021 (reference 03), which concluded she was overpaid REGULAR unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$4,020.00. Ms. Dunlap appealed online on February 15, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on February 15, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that Ms. Dunlap's appeal in this case was not filed on time.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: “[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.”

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Ms. Dunlap received the decision in the mail and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Dunlap's delay in filing her appeal was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Ms. Dunlap's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issue in this matter.

DECISION:

Ms. Dunlap's appeal was not filed on time. The July 23, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.



Daniel Zeno
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax 515-478-3528

April 26, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/scn