

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

RODNEY D BERRY
Claimant

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 20A-UI-07308-JE-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 04/19/20
Claimant: Appellant (6)**

871 IAC 24.23(10) – Approved Leave of Absence
Iowa Code § 96.4-3 – Able and Available for Work
Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) - Default Decision
871 IAC 26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed a timely appeal from a representative's unemployment insurance decision dated June 24, 2020, (reference 04), that concluded he was on an approved leave of absence and was not able and available for work. Notices of hearing were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 3:00 p.m. on August 6, 2020. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice instructing him to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing and consequently no hearing was held in this matter.

ISSUE:

The issues are whether the underlying decision should be affirmed and the appeal should be effectively dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and whether the claimant is overpaid benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. A Notice of Appeal and Hearing was mailed to both parties on July 22, 2020. There is no evidence suggesting the claimant/appellant did not receive the hearing notice prior to the hearing scheduled on August 6, 2020.

The front page of the hearing notice states: "**IMPORTANT NOTICE! YOU MUST PROVIDE YOUR PHONE NUMBER TO THE APPEALS BUREAU AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.** If you do not follow these instructions, the judge will not call you for the hearing. You must also provide the name(s) and phone number(s) of any witnesses to the Appeals Bureau."

The claimant/appellant failed to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The representative's decision concluded that the claimant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

Despite being denied benefits at the initial fact-finding, the decision was made by Iowa Workforce Development to release funds of the claimants while their appeals were pending due to the backlog in appeals caused by the recent COVID 19 outbreak. The claimant was one of the individuals whose funds were released pending appeal. The administrative record shows the claimant filed for and received a total of \$3,264.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the eight weeks ending June 13, 2020. He also received \$4,800.00 in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation for the eight weeks ending June 17, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedure Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides that if a party fails to appear or participate in a hearing after proper service of notice, the judge may enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. Likewise, Agency rule 871 IAC 26.14(7) provides that if the appealing party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the Appeals Bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the judge may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in Iowa Code § 17A.12(3).

This rule does not provide exceptions for good intentions and/or a party contacting the Appeals Bureau within a reasonable amount of time after the hearing is scheduled. It can be assumed an appellant intends to participate in the hearing simply by the fact an appeal is filed, but their responsibility does not end there. All parties are required to follow the specific written instructions printed on the hearing notice. Due process requires notice and an opportunity to be heard, both of which were provided to the parties.

If the claimant/appellant responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed, the administrative law judge shall not take the evidence of the late party. Instead, the administrative law judge shall inquire ex parte as to why the party was late in responding to the notice of hearing. For good cause shown, the record shall be reopened and cause further notice of hearing to be issued. The record shall not be reopened without a finding of good cause for the party's late response to the notice of hearing. 871 IAC 26.14(7)b. Furthermore, the rule states that failure to read or follow the hearing notice instructions shall not constitute good cause. 871 IAC 26.14(7)c.

The claimant/appellant appealed the unemployment insurance decision but failed to participate in the scheduled appeal hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on his appeal pursuant to Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) and 871 IAC 26.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

As the claimant/appellant has been receiving benefits, pending a determination on his appeal, the next issue in this case is whether the claimant/appellant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

The claimant received unemployment insurance benefits. This was during the period of time the claimant has been determined to be ineligible to receive benefits. This decision denies benefits. If this decision becomes final or if the claimant is not eligible for PUA, he may have an overpayment of benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's unemployment insurance decision dated June 24, 2020, (reference 04), is affirmed. The decision denying benefits to the claimant/appellant remains in effect, as the claimant/appellant is in default and the appeal is dismissed.

The claimant has received unemployment insurance benefits and Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation. This decision denies benefits. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits



Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
Iowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax 515-478-3528

August 18, 2020
Decision Dated and Mailed

je/sam

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment

Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.