IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

CRYSTAL HANCOCK 427 PALEAN ST KEOKUK IA 52632

LAD CORPORATION BURGER KING 1311 CAMBRIDGE RD QUINCY IL 62301 Appeal Number: 05A-UI-06971-BT

OC: 06/12/05 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
- ·
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Burger King (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated June 18, 2005, reference 01, which held that Crystal Hancock (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 25, 2005. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Robin Woods, General Manager.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time assistant manager from June 2000 through June 12, 2005. The claimant is deemed to have voluntarily quit after she missed two days of work and then reported to work on the third scheduled day only to turn in her time sheet and retrieve her personal items from her locker.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective June 28, 2005 and has received benefits after the separation from employment in the amount of \$570.00.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer or if the employer discharged her for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code sections 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a.

An employee quits her job only if she intends to quit and carries out that intent by some overt act. Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd., 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (lowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant demonstrated her intent to quit and acted to carry it out when she missed two days of work and only arrived on the third day to turn in her time sheet and retrieve her personal belongings. She contends an assistant manager told her she was fired, but the employer never told her that. Where an individual mistakenly believes that she is discharged and discontinues coming to work (but was never told she was discharged), the separation is a voluntary quit without cause attributable to the employer. LaGrange v. lowa Department of Job Service, (Unpublished lowa Appeals 1984). The claimant never called or questioned the employer to ask if or why she had been fired. It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. The claimant has not satisfied that burden. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowalaw.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated June 28, 2005, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$570.00.

sdb/kjw