#### BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

YUEPING ZHOU		
Claimant,	HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-1352	l
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
MERCY HOSPITAL	DECISION	

Employer.

## NOTICE

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A, 24.32-8

# DECISION

### UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

### **CONCURRING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:**

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed. However, I would comment that the Employer was not properly prepared for the hearing. Not all relevant facts, especially as to the most recent occurrences that led to the Claimant's termination, were available at the hearing. This case may have had an outcome favorable to the Employer had the Employer provided corroborating documentation as well as had firsthand witnesses available to refute the Claimant's testimony. Due to lack of evidence, I am compelled to agree with the administrative law judge's decision.

Monique F. Kuester

A portion of the Employer's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

John A. Peno

Monique F. Kuester

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

AMG/fnv