IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

DICK O HENRY 711 W BARRICK RD JANESVILLE IA 50647 1034

SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA $^{\text{C}}/_{\text{O}}$ TALX UCM SERVICES PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-03849-H2T

OC: 02-26-06 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the March 24, 2006, reference 02, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 9, 2006. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Doug Walter, Human Resources Manager, Des Moines location, Katherine Nuss, Human Resources Manager, Eagle Ottawa location and Melissa Sepanic, Branch Manager. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a security guard full time beginning July 20, 1991 through March 2, 2006 when he was discharged.

On March 1, 2006, a little before 5:00 a.m. the claimant was observed sleeping on the job by Katharine Nuss. Ms. Nuss drove her car into the gate area directly beside the guardhouse and waited for the guard to come out to check her identification to let her into the plant parking lot. When no guard appeared after approximately 30 seconds of waiting, Ms. Nuss put her car in park, exited her car and stood next to the guardhouse looking in the window. She noticed the claimant was sitting in a chair, leaning back with his head leaning backwards and his eyes closed. Ms. Nuss tapped on the window and the claimant appeared startled as he opened his eyes and looked around. Seeing Ms. Nuss at the door, he then checked her identification to let her into the company parking lot.

The employer had received previous complaints about the claimant sleeping on the job. While the claimant had never specifically been disciplined for sleeping on the job, he had been disciplined for failing to perform his job duties, which include monitoring entrance to the plant parking lot. The claimant received the company handbook which put him on notice that one instance of sleeping on the job could lead to his discharge.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as

is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Sleeping on the job on two occasions, one year apart can constitute job misconduct. <u>Hurtado v. IDJS</u>, 393 N.W.2d 309 (lowa 1986).

The claimant was seen sleeping on the job on March 1, 2006. The administrative law judge is persuaded that the claimant was in fact asleep on the job on March 1. He knew that he was not allowed to sleep on the job and that even one instance of sleeping on the job could lead to his termination or discharge. The claimant's sleeping on the job constitutes disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The March 24, 2006, reference 02, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,048.00.

tkh/kjf