# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**NANCY G REARDON** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-11710-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**HY-VEE INC** 

**Employer** 

OC: 07/11/10

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the August 16, 2010 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on October 5, 2010. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Store Director Jim Merulla.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer and whether she is overpaid benefits as a result.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant most recently worked full time as a pharmacy technician from 2005 and was separated from employment on June 21, 2010. She had originally been hired to work as a checker/stocker. Employer gave claimant notice in October 2009 that the state pharmacy board required that all pharmacy technicians be certified by July 1, 2010 (after an extension of the original state deadline of January 1, 2010). That would have involved a course, practice test, and test with a minimum score with continuing education. Hy-Vee would have paid for the training and testing. She opted to work as long as possible and then retire without going through the certification process. Because she did not want to pursue the certified pharmacy technician training the employer offered her a checker/stocker position she had originally held at the same rate of pay.

Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of July 11, 2010.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Appeal No. 10A-UI-11710-LT

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.25(24) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(24) The claimant left employment to accept retirement when such claimant could have continued working.

While the circumstances of the separation might be considered a change in the contract of hire, the state of lowa required the new certification and claimant declined to return to her original position at the same rate of pay. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits,

as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment may not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. If so, the employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits.

#### **DECISION:**

The August 16, 2010 (reference 01) decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

**REMAND:** The matter of determining the amount of the potential overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code § 96.3(7)b is remanded to the Agency.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
dml/css	