IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

TONI PORTER

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-15047-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KWIK TRIP INC

Employer

OC: 04/05/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22 - Able & Available - Benefits Eligibility Conditions

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 - Filing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the June 4, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 21, 2021, at 8:00 a.m. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Laura Clark, Store Leader. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

Whether claimant is on an approved leave of absence.

Whether claimant filed a timely appeal.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the correct address on June 4, 2020. Claimant does not recall receiving the decision. Claimant may have received the decision but did not open and read the decision. Claimant does not know how long it usually takes for mail from Des Moines, lowa to be received in Waterloo, lowa.

The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by lowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by June 14, 2020. Claimant did not appeal the decision. Claimant appealed subsequent overpayment decisions on November 6, 2020. The appeals bureau applied claimant's appeal to all adverse decisions. Claimant's appeal of the overpayment decisions was received by lowa Workforce Development on November 6, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that claimant's appeal was untimely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

Claimant did not appeal the decision denying benefits. Claimant has not established that her failure to appeal the decision was due to agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The appeal was not timely. Therefore, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

DECISION:

Claimant's appeal was not timely. The June 4, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of the representative.

Millin

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

February 8, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/scn