# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DION L SPROLES

Claimant

APPEAL 24A-UI-02323-DS-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC

**Employer** 

OC: 09/10/23

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a - Discharge

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 27, 2024, the employer filed an appeal from the unemployment insurance decision dated February 22, 2024, (Reference 04) that allowed benefits. Notice of hearing was mailed to the parties' last known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held on March 25, 2024. The claimant did not participate. The employer participated through Jessica Segner, Unemployment Specialist. Employer's Exhibits 1-4 were admitted to the record. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

### ISSUES:

Was the claimant separated from the employment for job-related misconduct? Is the claimant disqualified from receiving benefits due to the use of a controlled substance? Is the claimant overpaid benefits?

Should the claimant repay benefits or should the employer account be charged due to employer participation in the fact-finding interview?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The claimant worked for this employer from September 14, 2020, until January 30, 2024, when he was discharged by the employer. The employer is a temporary employment firm, and the claimant's last assignment was with Ranews Company. The claimant was offered an opportunity to move from temporary work with Ranews Company through QPS to a full-time position with Ranews Company. As part of the hiring process, the claimant was asked to submit to a drug screening. The claimant agreed to do so and the test took place on January 23, 2024. The employer then received a form showing the results of the test. The form shows a list of "drugs tested for." (Employer's Exhibit 3) The word "Cocaine" is in bold while the other substances are not. The word "Positive" appears in bold across from the word "Result." Below that line, there appears the phrase "MRO Verified On" followed by the date "01/29/2024." The employer does not know whether this was a split-sample test, but believes it may have been because the test was reviewed by a Medical Review Officer. The claimant was discharged from the employment on January 30, 2024, as a result of this test.

The employer participated in the fact-finding interview on February 15, 2024. The claimant's unemployment insurance payment records show that he has received no benefits since this separation occurred.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was discharged from the employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed.

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the lowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (lowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. Infante v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. Pierce v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa Ct. App. 1988).

Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). When an employee is discharged due to their failure to pass or refusal to submit to drug testing, the employer must comply with lowa Code § 730.5. If an employer chooses to conduct alcohol or drug testing, it must substantially comply with all the strict requirements of this statute. If an employer has an alcohol or drug testing policy, it must be in writing. Iowa Code § 730.5(9)(a)(1). The policy must have been provided to every employee subject to testing and must be available for review by employees and prospective employees. Iowa Code § 730.5(9)(a)(1).

The lowa Supreme Court has ruled that an employer cannot establish disqualifying misconduct based on a drug test performed in violation of lowa's drug testing laws. *Harrison v. Employment Appeal Board*, 659 N.W.2d 581 (lowa 2003); *Eaton v. Employment Appeal Board*, 602 N.W.2d 553, 558 (lowa 1999). As the court in Eaton stated, "It would be contrary to the spirit of chapter 730 to allow an employer to benefit from an unauthorized drug test by relying on it as a basis to disqualify an employee from unemployment compensation benefits." *Eaton*, 602 N.W.2d at 558.

In this case, while the employer did provide a copy of its drug and alcohol testing policy to the claimant (Employer's Exhibit 2), there is nothing in the record that can clearly attest to the employer's compliance with the remainder of the statute regarding drug testing. The employer is unable to demonstrate that it provided the claimant an opportunity for a split sample test according to the strict and explicit statutory requirements. As a result, while the employer may be within its rights to discharge the claimant as a result of this test, the employer is unable to establish disqualifying misconduct based upon that test. The employer has not met its burden, and benefits are allowed.

Since the claimant's separation was not disqualifying, the issues of overpayment and employer participation are moot. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid.

### DECISION:

The February 22, 2024, (Reference 04) unemployment insurance decision is AFFIRMED. The claimant was discharged from the employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed. The claimant is not overpaid, and the employer's account may be charged.

David J. Steen

Administrative Law Judge

April 4, 2024

Decision Dated and Mailed

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday. There is no filing fee to file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board.

# AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If you do not file an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/efile">www.iowacourts.gov/efile</a>. There may be a filing fee to file the petition in District Court.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

# **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

lowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal. No hay tarifa de presentación para presentar una apelación ante la Junta de Apelación de Empleo.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si no presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelación de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en una acción final de la agencia y tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/efile">www.iowacourts.gov/efile</a>. Puede haber una tarifa de presentación para presentar la petición en el Tribunal de Distrito.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

# **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.