# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**NICOLE L TOWNSLEY** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 14A-UI-12484-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**JOHN MORRELL & CO** 

Employer

OC: 11/09/14

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Quitting/Illness or Injury
Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(2) – Able & Available - Benefits Eligibility Conditions

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the November 25, 2014 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon voluntarily quitting the employment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 29, 2014. Claimant participated. Employer participated through human resource manager Kathy Peterson.

### ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a laborer from June 11, 2013 and was separated from employment on September 8, 2014 when she voluntarily quit after presenting a medical excuse dated September 4; keeping her off work indefinitely due to being unable to perform her job for personal medical reasons without giving a diagnosis. Claimant self-identified the condition as anxiety. Medication side effect of dizziness prevents her from working around heavy machinery, knives and hooks. She was released to return to work after a non-work-related illness on June 14 and excused from work again on June 18 after her last day of work on June 17, 2014. She began Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) leave on June 18 and exhausted that leave effective September 10. She was eligible for other leave time and Ester Andrade, human resources assistant, told her she may return with a full release. She opted to quit rather than take additional leave. There is nothing in writing that nurse practitioner Rebecca Walding, ARNP or any other medical practitioner advised her to quit her job, only that she was advised to take time off from work.

As of December 3, 2014, she was released to part-time (20 hours per week) according to Walding. She is searching for work at convenience stores, retail stores, and fast-food stores.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant is separated from the employment without good cause attributable to employer.

The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)). In the Gilmore case he was not fully recovered from his injury and was unable to show that he fell within the exception of section 96.5(1)(d). Therefore, because his injury was not connected to his employment and he had not fully recovered, he was considered to have voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer and was not entitled to unemployment benefits. See *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 345; *Shontz*, 248 N.W.2d at 91. In 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Claimant has not established that the medical condition was work related, as is her burden; thus, she must meet the requirements of the administrative rule cited above. She did not present evidence in writing to employer that a physician suggested leaving the employment and has not been released to return to full work duties. For unemployment insurance benefits purposes, the employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work-related medical condition. Accordingly, although the separation was for good personal reasons, it was without good cause attributable to the employer; and benefits must be denied.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

At the point of the separation from employment claimant's ability to work is not measured by the job she held most recently, but by standards of her education, training, and work history. She is considered able to work in part-time employment without heavy equipment even if she cannot yet return to a job as most recently performed for the employer. Thus, the claimant is considered as able to work effective December 7, 2014; provided she is otherwise eligible.

#### **DECISION:**

The November 25, 2014 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Claimant is separated from the employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/can