

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

TESSA R. SHOCK
Claimant

CHILDSERVE COMMUNITY OPTIONS INC
Employer

APPEAL 22A-UI-06491-CS-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 10/25/20
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work
Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On March 15, 2022, the claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the March 10, 2021, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on claimant not able to perform work due to illness. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 26, 2022. The hearing was held together with appeals 22A-UI-06492-CS-T and 22A-UI-06493-CS-T, and combined into one record. Claimant participated. Employer did not call in to participate. Administrative notice was taken of claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. Exhibit A was admitted into the record.

ISSUES:

- I. Is claimant's appeal timely?
- II. Is the claimant able to and available for work effective October 25, 2020?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the appellant's address of record on March 10, 2021. The appellant did not receive the decision. The first notice of disqualification claimant received was the overpayment decision dated March 11, 2022. The appeal was sent within ten days after receipt of the overpayment decision.

Claimant began working for employer in 2019. Claimant last worked as caregiver. Claimant's son attends a daycare. Claimant received notice that her son was exposed to COVID and his daycare room was shut down from October 30, 2020, through November 11, 2020. (Exhibit A). Claimant did not have alternate childcare available to her. Claimant informed her employer and took time off to care for her son. Claimant returned to work after the period of exposure.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the appellant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The appellant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant timely appealed the overpayment decision, which was the first notice of disqualification. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The next issue is whether the claimant is able to and available for work effective October 25, 2020. The administrative law judge concludes claimant is not available for work for the reasons that follow.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. *Illness, injury or pregnancy.* Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(2) provides:

(2) *Available for work.* The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(8) and (10) provide:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(8) Where availability for work is unduly limited because of not having made adequate arrangements for child care.

(10) The claimant requested and was granted a leave of absence, such period is deemed to be a period of voluntary unemployment and shall be considered ineligible for benefits for such period.

For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is available for work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is available for work.

In this case claimant's son was exposed to COVID and his daycare room was shut down. Claimant stayed home with her son because of his exposure and because she did not have other childcare options available to her. Claimant was not able to work from October 25, 2020, through November 7, 2020. Benefits are denied effective October 25, 2020.

Claimant is encouraged to follow the directions below and fill out an application for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits to determine if the period of unemployment qualifies for PUA benefits.

DECISION:

The appeal is timely.

The March 10, 2021, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is **AFFIRMED**. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective October 25, 2020. Benefits are denied.



Carly Smith
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

May 11, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed

cs/mh

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision.

Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who were unemployed between February 2, 2020, and June 12, 2021, unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** To apply for PUA go to <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-appeals> in the last paragraph under "WHAT TO EXPECT FROM THE HEARING." **The authorization number is 1 plus the pin number you used for the hearing [06491].**

If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.