# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**EXODUS J KERKULAH** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 21A-UI-03400-AD-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC

Employer

OC: 10/25/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On January 20, 2021, Exodus Kerkulah (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the December 21, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on a finding claimant voluntarily quit work on October 5, 2020 for reasons not caused by employer.

A telephone hearing was held on March 25, 2021. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Claimant participated personally. Tyson Fresh Meats Inc (employer/respondent) participated by Lori Direnzo.

Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

## ISSUE(S):

I. Is the appeal timely?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the above address on December 21, 2020. That was claimant's correct address at that time. Claimant is unsure when the decision arrived in the mail. Claimant lives at the address with other people. Mail is placed in a basket in the home when it arrives. Claimant knew correspondence from the Department regarding his claim would be sent to him, as he had checked in with the Department frequently regarding the status of his claim. Despite this, claimant checked the mail basket infrequently. Because of this, he did not become aware of that the decision had arrived and was in the basket until on or about the date he appealed the decision.

The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by December 31, 2020. However, if the due date falls

on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. Claimant appealed the decision online on January 20, 2020.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The December 21, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on a finding claimant voluntarily quit work on October 5, 2020 for reasons not caused by employer is therefore final and remains in force.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b)

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. lowa Dept. Job Service*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. *Messina v. lowa Dept. of Job Service*, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (lowa 1983); *Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job Service*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. *E.g. Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job* Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); *Smith v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471 (lowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission

was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

The record in this case indicates the delay in appealing was due to claimant's failure to check his mail regularly and not due to any agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The delay in appealing was approximately three weeks in length. The administrative law judge cannot find in the circumstances that there was good cause for the delay. As such the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to change the underlying decision, as it has become a final decision.

#### **DECISION:**

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The December 21, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on a finding claimant voluntarily quit work on October 5, 2020 for reasons not caused by employer is therefore final and remains in force.

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

and Mopplemens

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515) 478-3528

March 26, 2021

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

abd/kmj

## Note to Claimant:

If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If this decision denies benefits, you may be responsible for paying back benefits already received.

Individuals who are disqualified from or are otherwise ineligible for <u>regular</u> unemployment insurance benefits but who are unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.