IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

WREMONGAR T SNYDER Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-03338-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

M.G. WALDBAUM COMPANY

Employer

OC: 06/07/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Wremongar T Snyder, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the September 24, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on March 23, 2021. Mr. Snyder participated and testified. The employer participated through Miriam Zamago, human resources. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Did Mr. Snyder file his appeal on time? Was Mr. Snyder discharged for disgualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Claimant just said forget about it.

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Mr. Snyder at the correct address on September 24, 2020. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by October 4, 2020. If the date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. October 4, 2020 was a Sunday; therefore, the deadline was extended to Monday, October 5, 2020. Mr. Snyder received the decision in the mail. Mr. Snyder chose to not appeal the decision at that time.

Mr. Snyder received a different Unemployment Insurance Decision, (reference 01) related to a different employer dated October 22, 2020. That decision allowed benefits. Mr. Snyder called Iowa Workforce Development on January 19, 2021 because he had not received benefits. The Iowa Workforce Development representative told Mr. Snyder that he was not receiving benefits because of the September 24, 2020 decision and that he could appeal that decision. Mr.

Snyder appealed the decision online on January 19, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on January 19, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that Mr. Snyder's appeal was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Mr. Snyder received the decision in the mail and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Mr. Synder's delay was due to him deciding not to appeal when he received the September 24, 2020 decision. Mr. Snyder's delay was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been

established for the delay. Mr. Snyder's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issue in this matter.

DECISION:

Mr. Sndyer's appeal was not filed on time. The September 24, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.

Kentel 300

Daniel Zeno Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

March 25, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/kmj