IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MERCURY C PERKINS Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-10373-JP-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DES STAFFING SERVICES INC Employer

> OC: 08/16/15 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the September 15, 2015, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 30, 2015. Claimant participated. Employer participated through assistant operations manager, Cassie Dilly and human resources, Stacy Navarro.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed and last assigned at Custom Pak from March 5, 2015, and was separated from the assignment, but not the employment, on March 19, 2015. Claimant notified the employer on March 19, 2015 that he did not want to work at Custom Pak anymore and he requested a new assignment. The employer told claimant not to return to Custom Pak and the employer would notify Custom Pak he was ending the assignment. On March 20, 2015, the employer contacted claimant and offered a new assignment with Kings Material. Claimant turned down the assignment because he had an interview that afternoon. The employer did not hear from claimant after March 20, 2015.

The employer makes a record if anyone calls in looking for work. The employer has no records of any contact with claimant after March 20, 2015. The employer requires employees to contact them once a week to determine their status. Claimant did not call the employer after March 20, 2015. If no contact, the employer assumes that the employee has found other work and quit. Claimant was told this requirement when he was hired.

Claimant did find work through Chenhall's Staffing from around April 14, 2015 through May 2, 2015.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

It is the duty of an administrative law judge and the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge, as the finder of fact, may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other evidence you believe; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996).

This administrative law judge assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and used my own common sense and experience. This administrative law judge finds the employer's version of events to be more credible than claimant's recollection of those events.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(3) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(3) The claimant left to seek other employment but did not secure employment.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. On March 20, 2015, claimant was offered a new assignment with Kings Material. Claimant refused this assignment because he had a job interview that afternoon. Claimant's refusal of the new assignment did not amount to job abandonment; however, claimant's failure to have any further contact with the employer after March 20, 2015 this did amount to job abandonment. The employer requires all employees to check in with the employer at least once a week, so the employer is aware of their status. Claimant was aware of this requirement, as evident by his testimony that he would periodically check in with the employer. However, the employer presented credible evidence that claimant did not have any contact with it after March 20, 2015. The employer keeps records of contact with employees. Ms. Dilly and Ms. Navarro testified that there were no records of claimant contacting the employer.

Claimant's leaving the employment without notice or reason, and the failure to return to work renders the separation job abandonment without good cause attributable to the employer. While claimant's leaving the employment may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to Iowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The September 15, 2015, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Jeremy Peterson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jp/css