### BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

CHARLES W THOMAS	:	HEARING NUMBER: 11B-UI-17384
Claimant,	:	
and	:	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION
HEARTLAND EXPRESS INC OF IOWA	:	DECISION

Employer.

## NOTICE

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A

# DECISION

### UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

John A. Peno

Elizabeth L. Seiser

#### DISSENTING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. I would find that the claimant was an experienced driver who testified that he had knowledge of the employer's policy. His knowledge is corroborated by his signature in three different places that inverters were not allowed. The evidence clearly establishes that the claimant intentionally disregarded the employer's policy by choosing to have an inverter in his possession. For these reasons, I would conclude that the employer satisfied their burden of proof. Benefits should be denied.

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/kjo