IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KIMBERLY K SMITH

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-20212-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Employer

OC: 07/05/20

Claimant: Appellant (4)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.23(26) - Availability Disqualifications Same Hours and Wages

lowa Code § 96.1A(37) - Total and Partial Unemployment

lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) - Same Base Period Employment

lowa Code § 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Kimberly K. Smith, filed an appeal from the October 28, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits effective July 12, 2020, based upon the determination that claimant was still employed at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the contract of hire. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 9, 2021, and was consolidated with the hearing for appeal numbers 21A-Ul-20213-AR-T and 21A-Ul-20214-AR-T. The claimant participated personally. The employer, The University of Iowa, participated through Scott Coons. Department's Exhibit D-1 was admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant's appeal is timely.

Whether claimant is totally, partially or temporarily unemployed.

Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

Whether claimant is still employed at the same hours and wages.

Whether employer's account is subject to charge.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant became employed with this employer on January 23, 1986. She remains employed as an admin service coordinator as of the date of the hearing. She works full time.

During the summer of 2020, beginning July 5, 2020, claimant was on a two-week, unpaid furlough imposed by the employer. Employees had some flexibility when they could take the furlough, but they were required to take the two weeks unpaid. Claimant was on furlough during the week of July 5, 2020, through the week ending July 18, 2020. As of the week of July 19, 2020, she returned to work in her same position, at the same hours and wages as prior to the

furlough. She explained that she made a mistake when she filed for unemployment the week of July 19, 2020. She called lowa Workforce Development and was told that the mistake had been corrected.

A disqualification decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on October 28, 2020. She does not remember receiving the decision. The decision imposed a deadline for appeal of November 7, 2020. Claimant filed her appeal on September 13, 2021, after she received overpayment decisions.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the appellant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law

judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). 00194Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

The appellant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant timely appealed the overpayment decision, which was the first notice of disqualification. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

For the following reasons, the administrative law judge concludes that claimant was totally unemployed the week of July 12, 2020, and was not totally or partially unemployed the week of July 19, 2020. Accordingly, the IWD representative's decision is modified in favor of the appellant.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Code section 96.1A(37) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is

unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

lowa Code section 96.7(2)a(2)(a), (b), and (c) provides:

- 2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.
- a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.
- (a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (c) The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

Claimant did not work, and earned no wages, the week of July 12, 2020. Accordingly, she is totally unemployed that week, and benefits are allowed. The employer's account is not relieved of charges for that week. Claimant was neither partially nor totally unemployed the week of July 19, 2020, as she returned to her full-time position as of that week. Accordingly, benefits are denied for that week.

DECISION:

The October 28, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant's appeal is timely. The claimant was totally unemployed the week ending July 18, 2020, and benefits are allowed for that week. The employer's account may be charged for that week. Claimant was not partially or totally unemployed the week ending July 25, 2020, and benefits are denied for that week.

Alexis D. Rowe

Administrative Law Judge

Au DR

December 8, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/scn