

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RYAN M BRANSTAD
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 15A-UI-01118-DWT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

MICHELS CORPORATION
Employer

OC: 05/04/14
Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge
871 IAC 24.32(1)b – Requalifying Wages

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a representative's December 16, 2014 (reference 08) determination that held he was not qualified to receive benefits because he had not earned requalifying wages since his August 2014 employment separation from this employer. The claimant participated at the February 19 hearing with his union representative, Chad Carter. The employer did not respond to the hearing notice or participate at the hearing. Based on the evidence, the claimant's arguments, and the law, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is qualified to receive benefits and is not required to earn requalifying wages.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant required to earn requalifying reasons wages after his August 2014 employment separation from this employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant reopened his claim for benefits during the weeks of August 24 and October 26, 2014. The claimant appealed a representative's September 11, 2014 determination that disqualified him from receiving benefits as of August 24, 2014. This determination has been reversed. See decision for Appeal No. 15A-UI-01116-DWT. As of August 24, 2014 the claimant is qualified to receive benefits.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Based on the decision for Appeal No. 15A-UI-01116-DWT, the claimant is not required to earn requalifying wages. 871 IAC 24.32(1)b. Instead, based on that decision the claimant is qualified to receive benefits as of August 24, 2014, provided he meets all other eligibility requirements.

DECISION:

The representative's December 16, 2014 (reference 08) determination is reversed. Based on the decision for Appeal No. 15A-UI-01116-DWT, the claimant is qualified to receive benefits as of August 24, 2014; provided he meets all other eligibility requirements. He is not required to earn requalifying wages.

Debra L. Wise
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlw/can