IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JASON L HULL

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-01694-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HEARTLAND EXPRESS INC OF IOWA

Employer

OC: 01-07-07 R: 12 Claimant: Respondent (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the February 14, 2007, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on March 5, 2007. Claimant responded to the hearing notice instructions but was not available when the hearing was called and did not participate. Employer participated through Leah Carrs.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full time over-the-road driver from May 4, 2005 until January 30, 2007, when he was discharged after his failure to return from a medical leave of absence on January 22, 2007, when he was released to return to work without restriction. Work was available to him, but he did not contact the tractor control as directed until January 26, four days after he was supposed to return to work. He also did not report for work on Monday, January 29, or Tuesday, January 30, 2007, and called employer late in the day claiming his wife was ill one day and his child was ill the other. He was rehired and did return to work on February 13, 2007. There were no warnings issued to claimant that his job was in jeopardy.

The issue of claimant's availability for work for the six-week period ending February 17, 2007 has not yet been investigated or determined.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness or injury cannot constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Absences related to lack of childcare are generally held to be unexcused. Harlan v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 192 (Iowa 1984). However, a good-faith inability to obtain childcare for a sick infant may be excused. McCourtney v. Imprimis Technology, Inc., 465 N.W.2d 721 (Minn. App. 1991).

An employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job-related misconduct as the reason for the separation, employer incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A reported absence related to illness or injury is excused for the purpose of the lowa Employment Security Act. An employer's point system or no-fault absenteeism policy is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for benefits. Because the final absence for which he was discharged was related to reported illness, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism has been established and no disqualification is imposed.

DECISION:

The February 14, 2007, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

REMAND:

The claimant's ability to work and availability for work issue for the six week period	ending
February 17, 2007 delineated in the findings of fact is remanded to the claims section	of Iowa
Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.	

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/kjw