# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

# KEVIN F HENNEBERRY 204 4<sup>TH</sup> ST APT 700 DES MOINES IA 50309

# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

# Appeal Number:05A-UI-03879-SWTOC:04/03/05R:0202Claimant:Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-4 - Monetary Eligibility

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated April 4, 2005, reference 01, that concluded he was not monetarily eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because he did not have at least one and one-quarter times his high quarter wages in his base period. A telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2005. The claimant participated in the hearing. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence at the hearing.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant worked for Iowa Workforce Development from August 27, 2004, to January 21, 2005. His first paycheck totaled \$723.90 for the two-week pay period ending September 9, 2004, and was paid on September 17, 2004. His second paycheck totaled \$682.62 for the two-week pay period ending September 23 and was paid on October 1, 2004. The claimant

was paid a total of \$4,748.03 during October, November, and December 2004. The claimant did not have any other income in 2004.

The claimant filed a new claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of April 3, 2005. His base period was determined to be January 1, 2004, though December 31, 2004. The claimant was paid wages totaling \$723.90 for the third quarter of 2004 and \$5,430.67 for the fourth quarter of 2004, which equals \$6,154.57. One and one-quarter times \$5,430.67 equals \$6,788.34.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

In order to be eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, the law requires sufficient wages to meet the following formula: "The individual has been paid wages for insured work during the individual's base period in an amount at least one and one-quarter times the wages paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest." Iowa code § 96.4-4. (Underline added for emphasis). Furthermore, the unemployment insurance rules provide that employers report on the quarterly payroll report submitted to the department: "the total wages paid and taxable wages paid to each employee during the calendar quarter." 871 IAC 22.3(6)a. (Underline added for emphasis).

In the claimant's appeal letter and during the hearing, the claimant accurately states that if the wages from his second paycheck were transferred from the fourth quarter 2004 to the third quarter 2004, he would satisfy the one and one-half times requirement. He admitted, however, that the second paycheck was paid to him on October 1, 2004. The law, however, requires employers to report the wages on the quarterly payroll report during the quarter when the wages were paid, and likewise, the law requires that the high quarter earnings the based on when the wages were paid. An administrative law judge's job is to apply the law as written, not rewrite the law to achieve some desired result. In this case, the law is clear and leaves no room for interpretation. The claimant has not satisfied the one and one-half times requirement set forth in the statute and is ineligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

## DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 4, 2005, reference 01, is affirmed. The claimant is ineligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

saw/tjc