IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TERRANCE L WILLIAMS

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-08945-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

REMEDY TEMPORARY SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 09/07/08

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit 871 IAC 24.25(4) – Loss of Transportation 871 IAC 26.14(7) – Request to Reopen

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a department decision dated June 19, 2009, reference 05, that held he voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to his employer on April 30, 2009, and benefits are denied. A telephone hearing was held on July 29, 2009. The claimant did not participate. Wendy Messenbrink, Supervisor, participated for the employer.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant voluntarily guit without good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having heard the testimony of the witnesses, and having considered the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant worked on an indefinite assignment for the employer as a full-time laborer from March 26, 2009 to April 17, 2009. The claimant called the employer on April 20 to say he had to report for jury duty. Messenbrink advised the claimant when he finished jury duty he would need to report back to work.

The claimant called Messenbrink that he was done with jury duty. The claimant called to say he could not report to work on April 29, because he lost his transportation due to repossession. The claimant asked whether he could work another shift, but the employer stated there was none available. When the claimant stated he would have to end the assignment, the employer considered it to be a voluntary quit of employment.

The claimant was not available when called for the hearing at the number he provided. The claimant called after the close of the record, as he forgot about the hearing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(1) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) The claimant's lack of transportation to the work site unless the employer had agreed to furnish transportation.

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to his employer due to a loss of transportation.

The claimant knew transportation to the job was his responsibility, and his loss of transportation ended the job assignment that is a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer.

871 IAC 26.14(7) provides:

- (7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals section with the names and telephone numbers of its witnesses by the scheduled time of the hearing, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing.
- a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.
- b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party. Instead, the presiding officer shall inquire as to why the party was late in responding to the notice of hearing. For good cause shown, the presiding officer shall reopen the record and cause further notice of hearing to be issued to all parties of record. The record shall not be reopened if the presiding officer does not find good cause for the party's late response to the notice of hearing.
- c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

At issue is a request to reopen the record made after the hearing had concluded. The request to reopen the record is denied because the party making the request failed to participate by reading and following the instructions on the hearing notice.

The failure to remember the hearing and be available when called is not a good cause to reopen the record.

DECISION:

The department decision dated June 19, 2009, reference 05, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause on April 30, 2009. Benefits are denied until the claimant requalifies by working in and being paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Randy L. Stephenson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/css