IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JOSEPH HOLDING 921 N FILLMORE AVE MASON CITY IA 50401

MANPOWER INC OF CEDAR RAPIDS 1220 INDUSTRIAL AVE HIAWATHA IA 52233-1155 Appeal Number: 05A-UI-07794-BT

OC: 06/19/05 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (4)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96 5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Manpower of Cedar Rapids (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated July 19, 2005, reference 03, which held that Joseph Holding (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 18, 2005. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Debbie Chamberlain, Risk Control Manager, and Katrina Moore, Branch Manager.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time laborer from May 19, 2004 through July 14, 2005. He was taken off his last assignment due to a no-call/no-show on June 13, 2005. The employer had no work available until July 14, 2005, when it offered the claimant an assignment that he refused. The claimant stopped contacting the employer after that date because he was looking for work on his own.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective June 19, 2005, and has received benefits after the separation from employment in the amount of \$1,752.00.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if he voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer or if the employer discharged him for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code sections 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980). The claimant demonstrated his intent to quit and acted to carry it out by not contacting the employer after July 14, 2005. He quit his employment because he wanted to look for work on his own. It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code sections 96.6-2. The claimant has not satisfied that burden. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated July 19, 2005, reference 03, is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld as of July 14, 2005 until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$1,095.00.

sdb/kjw