# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JULIANNA D BOLINE** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-07158-NT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**S&JTUBE INC** 

Employer

OC: 06/15/08 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Protest

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the July 28, 2008, reference 02, decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference on August 21, 2008. The claimant did not participate. The employer did participate through Judy Belger, Human Resources Manager.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the employer's protest is timely.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant's notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on June 27, 2008. It was received by the employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. The employer did not file a protest until July 23, 2008 which is after the ten day period had expired. No good cause reason has been established for the delay.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the lowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the

Appeal No. 08A-UI-07158-NT

time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same lowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 4.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6-2 and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See <u>Beardslee v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979).

### **DECISION:**

The July 28, 2008, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The employer has failed to file a timely protest and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
pjs/pjs	