IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-08343-NT **PAMELA S FRENO** Claimant ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION MERCY MEDICAL CENTER Employer

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Pamela Freno filed a timely appeal from a representative's decision dated June 4, 2010, reference 01, which denied benefits based upon her separation from Mercy Medical Center. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on July 28, 2010. Ms. Freno participated personally. Participating on behalf of the claimant was her attorney, Ms. Sharie L. Smith. The employer, although duly notified, did not participate.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct sufficient to warrant the denial of unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered the evidence in the record, finds: Pamela Freno was employed by Mercy Medical Center from December 6, 2002 until April 26, 2010 when she was discharged from employment. Ms. Freno worked as a full-time housekeeper and was paid by the hour. Her immediate supervisor was Linda Bell.

Ms. Freno was discharged after she was unable to report for scheduled work on April 27, 2010 due to illness. Ms. Freno called to report her impending absence prior to the beginning of the work shift and reported to her employer that she was "ill."

Under the hospital's attendance policy employees are subject to discharge if they accumulate attendance infraction points that exceed the permissible number set by the employer. Prior to being discharged the claimant had been warned on a number of occasions. Although the claimant had exceeded the permissible number of attendance infractions in the past, she had not been discharged. Ms. Freno's absences were primarily related to illness and were properly reported.

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

OC: 05/02/10 Claimant: Appellant (2)

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6.2. Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment insurance benefits. Misconduct that may be serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant the denial of unemployment insurance benefits. See <u>Lee v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional or culpable acts by the employee. See <u>Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Allegations of misconduct without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in a disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See 871 IAC 24.32(4).

The Supreme Court in the case of <u>Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984) held that excessive unexcused absenteeism is a form of misconduct. The Court further held, however, that absence due to illness and other excusable reasons is deemed excused if the employee properly notifies the employer.

The evidence in this case establishes that the claimant's most recent absence was due to illness and that Ms. Freno properly notified the employer prior to the beginning of her work shift of her inability to report to work because of illness. Based upon the facts of this case and the application of the law, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Unemployment insurance benefits are allowed, providing the claimant meets all other eligibility requirements of lowa law.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated June 4, 2010, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Unemployment insurance benefits are allowed, providing the claimant meets all other eligibility requirements.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css