

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**AMANDA R SANDAHL**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-11191-CT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS INC**  
Employer

**OC: 11/04/07 R: 01**  
**Claimant: Respondent (1)**

871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

An appeal was filed from a representative's decision dated November 26, 2007, reference 01, which concluded Amanda Sandahl had been discharged by Electrolux Home Products, Inc. but misconduct had not been established. A telephone hearing was scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on December 18, 2007. The employer, the appellant herein, responded to the notice of hearing but the designated witness was not available at the number provided at the scheduled time of the hearing. A voice message left for the employer at 2:02 p.m. was not returned. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

**ISSUE:**

At issue in this matter is whether the decision previously entered should be affirmed.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant failed to be available at the telephone number provided to Workforce Development for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

(3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the

presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

(5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed the evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed. The documents sent by the employer prior to the hearing do not establish a current act of unexcused absenteeism. A disqualification from job insurance benefits must be based on a current act of misconduct. See 871 IAC 24.32(1).

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision asking that the hearing be reopened. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated November 26, 2007, reference 01, is affirmed. The decision holding the claimant qualified for benefits remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written request establishing good cause to reopen the record is made to the administrative law judge or an appeal is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 15 days of the date of this decision.

---

Carolyn F. Coleman  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

cfc/css