

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS
Division of Administrative Hearings
Wallace State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

RONALD MANGOLD
P.O. BOX 54
QUASQUETON, IA 52326-0054

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
SHANLYN SEIVERT
REEMP. SERVICES COORDINATOR
430 EAST GRAND AVE.
DES MOINES, IA 50309

DAN ANDERSON, IWD

Appeal Number: 10IWDUI331

OC: 8/1/2010

Claimant: Appellant (6)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4TH Floor Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to the department. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

February 25, 2011

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Ronald Mangold filed an appeal from a decision issued by Iowa Workforce Development (the Department). The case was transmitted from Workforce Development to the Department of Inspections and Appeals on December 22, 2010 to schedule a contested case hearing. No decision was included with the file when the case was transmitted for hearing.

A Notice of Telephone Hearing was mailed to all parties on January 20, 2011. The notice contained instructions regarding how to participate in the telephone hearing. Neither the appellant nor any representative from Iowa Workforce Development (the Department) called in to participate in the hearing at the date and time indicated.

Several days after the hearing, Maria Runde from Iowa Workforce Development contacted me. She represented that the decision that the appellant was appealing was a

decision to disqualify the appellant for failure to attend a reemployment services appointment while the appellant was no longer receiving unemployment insurance benefits. Ms. Runde represented that the effect of this decision was to place a lock on any future benefits that the appellant might apply for until he completed a reemployment services class. Ms. Runde also represented that she planned to remove the lock from the appellant's account so that the decision would have no impact on him.

The Department's representation that it plans to remove the lock from the appellant's account has made the appellant's case moot as he has apparently received the relief he sought through the appeal process. I note that it is difficult to discern whether the relief the Department is providing is precisely in line with the decision that was issued as the Department failed to transmit the decision with the file. Mr. Mangold, however, failed to appear for the hearing. Having no contrary information, then, I am persuaded that the Department's action resolves the issue at hand.

DECISION

The appellant's appeal is dismissed as moot. The Department shall take any action necessary to implement this decision.

lel