IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JANUN L MILLS

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-02218-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WAGGONER SOLUTIONS CO

Employer

OC: 02/09/20

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant, Waggoner Solutions Co., filed an appeal from the March 10, 2020 (reference 01) Iowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits and concluded the employer failed to file a timely protest. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 27, 2020. The claimant, Janun L. Mills, participated personally. The employer participated through Kevin Waggoner, owner. Michelle Waggoner, co-owner, testified. Charles Blanchard attended as an observer only.

The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Department Exhibit D-1 (Employer protest) and Employer Exhibit A (Employer Appeal) were admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the employer file a timely protest?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant established his claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of February 9, 2020. A notice of claim was mailed to the employer's address of record on February 12, 2020.

The notice of claim stated, "[a]s an employer of this claimant within the past 18 months from the effective date of claim, your account may receive charges based upon wages you have paid this claimant unless you provide Iowa Workforce Development with information justifying relief from such charges. Any benefits paid may result in a rate increase to your account." The notice of claim also contained a due date of February 24, 2020.

The employer received the notice of claim but does not remember when. The employer forwarded a copy of the notice of the claimant to its attorney, as well as notice it was being sued by the claimant. The documents from district court were dated February 12, 2020 (the same day as the notice of claim) but Ms. Waggoner did not recall when she notified the attorney of the notice of claim. She said she contacted the attorney about the pending due date, to see who was going to respond to it. In its appeal letter, the employer stated, "you did not receive information from us in a timely manner because our lawyer was looking over the paperwork you sent and did not give us the go ahead to respond until the respond date had passed" (Employer Exhibit A).

The notice of protest of claim was not submitted until March 6, 2020, when the employer faxed its protest (Department Exhibit 1).

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge concludes that employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.8(2) provides:

Notifying employing units of claims filed, requests for wage and separation information, and decisions made.

- 24.8(2) Responding by employing units to a notice of the filing of an initial claim or a request for wage and separation information and protesting the payment of benefits.
- a. The employing unit which receives a Form 65-5317, Notice of Claim, or Form 68-0221, Request for Wage and Separation Information, must, within ten days of the date of the notice or request, submit to the department wage or separation information that affects the individual's rights to benefits, including any facts which disclose that the individual separated from employment voluntarily and without good cause attributable to the employer or was discharged for misconduct in connection with employment.
- b. The employing unit may protest the payment of benefits if the protest is postmarked within ten days of the date of the notice of the filing of an initial claim. In the event that the tenth day falls on a Saturday, Sunday or holiday, the protest period is extended to the next working day of the department. If the employing unit has filed a timely report of facts that might adversely affect the individual's benefit rights, the report shall be considered as a protest to the payment of benefits.
- c. If the employing unit protests that the individual was not an employee and it is subsequently determined that the individual's name was changed, the employing unit shall be deemed to have not been properly notified and the employing unit shall again be provided the opportunity to respond to the notice of the filing of the initial claim.

- d. The employing unit has the option of notifying the department under conditions which, in the opinion of the employing unit, may disqualify an individual from receiving benefits. The notification may be submitted electronically.
- (1) The Notice of Separation, Form 60-0154, must be postmarked or received before or within ten days of the date that the Notice of Claim, Form 65-5317, was mailed to the employer. In the event that the tenth day falls on Saturday, Sunday or holiday, the protest period is extended to the next working day of the department. If a claim for unemployment insurance benefits has not been filed, the Notice of Separation may be accepted at any time.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

- 24.35(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.
- a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.
- b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.
- c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the division after considering the circumstances in the case.
- d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

In this case, the employer's protest was late because of a delay in communication between the employer and its legal representative (Employer Exhibit A). The delay was not due to any *Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service* pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-4.35(2). Employer had a reasonable amount of time to file a protest. The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See, *Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979); *Franklin v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979) and *Pepsi-Cola Bottling Co. v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 465 N.W.2d 674 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

DECISION:

The March 10, 2020, (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Employer has failed to file a timely protest, and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

genrique d. Beckman

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

April 29, 2020 Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn