IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ROBERT C OBEREMBT Claimant

APPEAL NO. 06A-UI-11686-HT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

> OC: 11/06/05 R: 3 Claimant: Appellant (1)

871 IAC 24.2(1)g- Retroactive Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Robert Oberembt, filed an appeal from a decision dated December 1, 2006, reference 04. The decision denied his request for retroactive unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on December 21, 2006. The claimant participated on his own behalf.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant is eligible for retroactive benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Robert Oberembt filed a claim for unemployment benefits with an effective date of November 6, 2006. He filed weekly claims until the first week of February 2006. On October 22, 2006, he filed an additional claim but did not call in at the end of that week to report as directed.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 24.2(1)g provides:

g. No continued claim for benefits shall be allowed until the individual claiming benefits has furnished to the department a signed Form 60-0151, Claim for Benefits, or filed a voice response continued claim. The biweekly claim for benefit payment shall be mailed not earlier than noon of the second Saturday of the biweekly reporting period and, unless reasonable cause can be shown for the delay, not later than Friday of the week immediately following the biweekly reporting period. The weekly voice response continued claim shall be transmitted not earlier than noon of the Saturday of the weekly reporting period and, unless reasonable cause can be shown for the delay, not later than friday of the weekly reporting period and, unless reasonable cause can be shown for the delay, not later than close of business on the Friday following the weekly reporting period.

The claimant did not call in to report his weekly claim for the week ending October 29, 2006. Therefore, he did not meet the reporting requirements to be eligible for retroactive benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's decision of December 1, 2006, reference 04, is affirmed. The claimant's request for retroactive benefits is denied.

Bonny G. Hendricksmeyer Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bgh/kjw