

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

CHARLES MCWILLIAMS
Claimant

APPEAL 19A-UI-02354-H2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

STRAITLINE TRANSPORTATION
Employer

**OC: 02/17/19
Claimant: Respondent (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving
Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment
871 IAC 24.10 – Employer Participation in the fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the March 12, 2019, (reference 01) representative decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2019. Claimant did not participate. Employer participated through Michelle Krow, Accountant. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted into the record.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit his employment without good cause attributable to the employer?

Has the claimant been overpaid any unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can any charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a long haul over the road truck driver beginning on April 16, 2018 through September 27, 2018, when he voluntarily quit his employment. There was continued work available for the claimant if he had not quit his job. Each week the claimant wanted to work there was long haul work available for him. The claimant chose not to work from June 14 through June 18, so he could be home. Because he did not work that week, he would not get a paycheck for that week. The claimant picked up a load on June 18 and delivered it on June 25. Because he was going to be without a paycheck, the employer agreed to pay him early. The claimant was paid early for the entire load. This put him in the position where he was being paid for loads before he completed them. Normally employees were only given a \$200.00 cash advance for incidentals during each week.

On July 23 in a text message conversation with Ms. Krow, the claimant was told that he had to get back on the regular pay schedule where he would be paid after he completed the load, not before. The employer was under no obligation to advance the claimant any amount of pay for loads he had not delivered. The claimant continued to work until September 27 when he again asked the employer to advance him \$1000.00 dollars so his wife could take a church trip. The employer refused to advance any more than \$200.00. The claimant quit because the employer would not advance him payment for loads. The employer never reduced the claimant's work load. The only reason the claimant was not paid was because he chose not to work a week in June and during the week of Labor Day in early September.

Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of February 17, 2019. The employer participated personally in the fact-finding interview through Ms. Krow. Ms. Krow provided essentially the same information to the fact-finder that was provided at the appeal hearing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(13) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(13) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the wages but knew the rate of pay when hired.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

Employer's Exhibit 1 makes clear that claimant was properly paid for each and every load he drove and delivered. The employer was under no obligation to advance the claimant any payment on loads he had not completed. At no time was the claimant promised that the employer would pay him before he completed loads. At no time did the employer lay off the

claimant or have him sit without work for a week. The only reason the claimant did not work in June and September 2018 was because he chose to take time off. Under these circumstances, the claimant's choice to quit was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.

(b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may

also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

(2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.

(3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to Iowa Code section 17A.19.

(4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview. Iowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but

was not eligible for those benefits. Since the employer participated in the fact-finding interview the claimant is obligated to repay the benefits he received to the agency and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The March 12, 2019, (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$934.00 and he is obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer did participate in the fact-finding interview and their account shall not be charged.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/rvs