IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

RICHARD JONES JR

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-21657-AR-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PACKERS SANITATION SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 12/20/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

Iowa Code § 96.19(38)a & b – Total and Partial Unemployment

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.23(26) - Availability Disqualifications Same Hours and Wages

Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) - Same Base Period Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Richard Jones, Jr., filed an appeal from the March 2, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the determination that claimant was still employed at the same hours and wages as contemplated at hire. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 19, 2021. The claimant participated personally. The employer, Packers Sanitation Services, Inc., did not respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. Claimant's Exhibit A was admitted. Department's Exhibit D-1 was admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant's appeal timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A disqualification decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on March 2, 2021. He did receive the decision sometime in March 2021. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by March 12, 2021. The appeal was not filed until September 28, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Claimant stated that he did not wish to appeal the decision in March because he did not intend to claim unemployment insurance benefits due to the employer notifying him it intended to pay him for time off. In September 2021, when he filed his appeal, he did so in an attempt to "straighten out" his unemployment claim to avoid potential pitfalls later, should he begin claiming.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal is untimely.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Here, the claimant received the decision in the mail and, therefore, had an opportunity to file an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. Claimant's delay was not due to an error or misinformation

from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Claimant's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to decide the other issue in this matter.

DECISION:

The March 2, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Alexis D. Rowe

Administrative Law Judge

Au DR

__<u>December 28, 2021___</u> Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/mh