# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**MARY P MARSHALL** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-13788-DT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**HY-VEE INC** 

Employer

OC: 11/01/09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Appeal

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Mary P. Marshall (claimant) appealed a representative's December 9, 2009 decision (reference 02) that concluded she was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment from Hy-Vee, Inc. (employer). Hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 10:00 a.m. on November 16, 2010. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and provide a telephone number at which she could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing. The employer responded to the hearing notice and indicated that Daniel Speir of Unemployment Insurance Services would participate as the employer's representative with one witness. When the administrative law judge contacted the employer for the hearing, Mr. Speir agreed that the administrative law judge should make a determination based upon a review of the available information. Based on a review of the available information and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

## **ISSUE:**

Was the claimant's appeal timely or are there legal grounds under which it can be treated as timely?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The representative's decision was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on December 9, 2009. No evidence was provided to rebut the presumption that the claimant received the decision within a few days thereafter. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by December 19, 2009, a Saturday, extended by law to Monday, December 21, 2009. The appeal was not filed until it was hand-delivered to a local Agency office on October 6, 2010, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. The only explanation offered by the claimant to seek to excuse her delay was that "the reason I'm late in appealing the decision is because I was trying to find work these last few months. I also was getting my child ready for kindergarten."

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

If a party fails to make a timely appeal of a representative's decision and there is no legal excuse under which the appeal can be deemed to have been made timely, the decision as to the merits has become final and is not subject to further review. Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides that unless the affected party (here, the claimant) files an appeal from the decision within ten calendar days, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied as set out by the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. <u>Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.</u>, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); <u>Johnson v. Board of Adjustment</u>, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976). Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. <u>Messina v. IDJS</u>, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). The question in this case then becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

A party does not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal if the delay is due to Agency error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service. 871 IAC 24.35(2). Failing to read and follow the instructions for filing an appeal is not a reason outside the appellant's control that deprived the appellant from having a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. Delaying to prepare a child for kindergarten or simply because of seeking other employment are not reasons outside the appellant's control. The appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that the appellant's failure to file a timely appeal within the prescribed time was not due to a legally excusable reason so that it can be treated as timely. The administrative law judge further concludes that because the appeal was not timely, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal, regardless of whether the merits of the appeal would be valid. See <a href="Beardslee, supra">Beardslee, supra</a>; <a href="Franklin">Franklin</a>, supra</a>; and <a href="Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board</a>, 465 N.W.2d 674 (lowa App. 1990).

# **DECISION:**

The representative's December 9, 2009 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative has become final and remains in full force and effect. Benefits are denied.

Lynette A. F. Donner

Lynette A. F. Donner Administrative Law Judge

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

ld/kjw