IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SINDEE R GOHDE

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-08146-AT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 01/20/08 R: 04 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.4-3 – Active Work Search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Sindee R. Gohde filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated September 3, 2008, reference 02, that issued a warning to her upon a finding that she had not made at least two job contacts during the week ending August 30, 2008. After reviewing the information in the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

ISSUE:

Should the warning be removed from the claimant's record?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant accepted employment during the week ending August 30, 2008, and earned less than the sum of the claimant's weekly benefit amount plus \$15.00. The claimant made no further job contacts because of having accepted employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record.

lowa Code section 96.4-3 requires that claimants make an active work search each week that they request benefits. The Agency ordinarily interprets this to require a minimum of two contacts per week. The record in this case, however, establishes that the claimant's work search was successful. No further work search should be required. The warning should be removed.

Page 2 Appeal No. 08A-UI-08146-AT

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision do The warning is removed from the claimant'		3, 2008,	reterence	02, is	reversed.
Dan Anderson Administrative Law Judge	-				

Decision Dated and Mailed

kjw/kjw