IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
JAMES C LANDIS Claimant	APPEAL NO: 19A-UI-02098-JC-T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
TRADESMEN INTERNATIONAL LLC Employer	
	OC: 04/15/18 Claimant: Respondent (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Failure to Accept Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the March 1, 2019, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on March 26, 2019. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through Emily Kuhn, human resources recruiter.

Employer Exhibits 1-3 were admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records including the fact-finding documents. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUES:

Was a suitable offer of work made to the claimant? If so, did the claimant fail to accept and was the failure to do so for a good cause reason?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed with this employer from June 12, 2018 until March 20, 2019. No subsequent offers of work were made to the claimant. He last performed work on December 28, 2018 as a low voltage technician. The claimant's March 20, 2019 separation has not yet been adjudicated by the Benefits Bureau.

The claimant established his claim with an effective date of April 15, 2018 and reopened his claim with an effective date of January 27, 2019. The administrative records reflect that when the employer responded to the initial notice of claim, he was still employed and the claimant had refused an offer of work on January 18, 2019. However, the claimant had not yet separated when the claimant refused to perform work by way of going to Oskaloosa (60 miles away) to an unknown location, for an unknown, temporary period of time, depending on weather. The Oskaloosa assignment was made by Eric, the field manager.

The claimant's designation reflects that he is temporarily, not permanently separated from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes no valid offer of work was made to the claimant.

Iowa Code section 96.5(3)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. (1) In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(a) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(b) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(c) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(d) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(2) However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.24(1)a provides:

(1) Bona fide offer of work.

a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

The employer, in error, stated in its claim protest that the claimant refused an offer of work on January 18, 2019, when the issue at hand needing to be addressed is whether he may have refused to perform work *in his existing employment*, which led to separation. Therefore, the administrative law judge concludes there was no valid offer of work made to the claimant for him to decline. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.

REMAND: The following issues are remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination:

- 1. The issue of the claimant's March 20, 2019 permanent separation with this employer per Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a and Iowa Code § 96.5(1).
- 2. The issue of whether the claimant is temporarily or permanently unemployed, and therefore required to make an active work search per Iowa Code § 96.4(3).

DECISION:

The March 1, 2019, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. No valid offer of work was made by the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

REMAND: The following issues are remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination:

- 1. The issue of the claimant's March 20, 2019 permanent separation with this employer per Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a and Iowa Code § 96.5(1).
- 2. The issue of whether the claimant is temporarily or permanently unemployed, and therefore required to make an active work search per Iowa Code § 96.4(3).

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn