IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

PATRICK S FOLEY

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-01401-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

RYKO MANUFACTURING CO

Employer

OC: 10/06/13

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-5 – Severance Pay

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant appealed a representative's decision dated February 7, 2014, reference 02, that concluded claimant was ineligible for the two weeks ending October 19, 2013 for unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$848.00. A telephone hearing was scheduled and held on February 27, 2014 pursuant to due notice. Claimant did participate. Employer participated by Dawn Parker, Benefits and Compensation Administrator. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether severance pay was deducted for the correct period and amount.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant's employment with employer was separated on October 4, 2013 and claimant received severance pay in the amount of \$3,270.57 based upon a rate of pay \$2,973.25 per two weeks. Claimant worked five day work weeks. The severance pay equaled two weeks of work. Employer did not require claimant to sign any release in order to receive the severance pay. Employer did designate the period of time to which the severance pay was to be applied.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that severance pay was deducted for the correct period.

Iowa Code section 96.5-5 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 5. Other compensation. For any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received payment in the form of any of the following:
- a. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay.

- b. Compensation for temporary disability under the workers' compensation law of any state or under a similar law of the United States.
- c. A governmental or other pension, retirement or retired pay, annuity, or any other similar periodic payment made under a plan maintained or contributed to by a base period or chargeable employer where, except for benefits under the federal Social Security Act or the federal Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 or the corresponding provisions of prior law, the plan's eligibility requirements or benefit payments are affected by the base period employment or the remuneration for the base period employment. However, if an individual's benefits are reduced due to the receipt of a payment under this paragraph, the reduction shall be decreased by the same percentage as the percentage contribution of the individual to the plan under which the payment is made.

Provided, that if the remuneration is less than the benefits which would otherwise be due under this chapter, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Provided further, if benefits were paid for any week under this chapter for a period when benefits, remuneration or compensation under paragraph "a", "b", or "c", were paid on a retroactive basis for the same period, or any part thereof, the department shall recover the excess amount of benefits paid by the department for the period, and no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid. However, compensation for service-connected disabilities or compensation for accrued leave based on military service, by the beneficiary, with the armed forces of the United States, irrespective of the amount of the benefit, does not disqualify any individual, otherwise qualified, from any of the benefits contemplated herein. A deduction shall not be made from the amount of benefits payable for a week for individuals receiving federal social security pensions to take into account the individuals' contributions to the pension program.

Because employer did designate a time period to which the severance pay is to apply, the entire amount was correctly deducted from the two weeks of benefits following the separation. The date of payment is irrelevant. It is the time period for which the pay would cover. Since the severance would pay out for two weeks the claimant must be disqualified for those two weeks. It is irrelevant that the pay was made prior to the filing for unemployment.

DECISION:

The February 7, 2014, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The severance pay was deducted for the correct period ending October 19, 2013.

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
mdm/pjs	