

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI**

**STEVEN J HOLLIDAY
1016 KAMMERER CT
MUSCATINE IA 52761**

**S&J TUBE INC
14050 – 70TH ST
PO BOX 267
WAPELLO IA 52653**

**Appeal Number: 05A-UI-11010-HT
OC: 10/02/05 R: 04
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5(2)a – Discharge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, Steven Holliday, filed an appeal from a decision dated October 20, 2005, reference 01. The decision disqualified him from receiving unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on November 10, 2005. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer, S&J Tube, Inc., participated by Human Resources Manager Penny Evans, Plant Manager John Hines, and Supervisor Paula Sorrowfree.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Steen Holliday was employed by S&J Tube, Inc.,

from December 1, 2003 until October 5, 2005. He was a full-time material handler working 2:00 p.m. until 10:30 p.m.

In May 2005, the claimant ran his fork truck into a support beam, causing substantial damage, which meant the beam had to be replaced. Plant Manager John Hines talked to the claimant about the incident and told him he could be fired if something similar happened again.

On October 4, 2005, the claimant backed into another support beam again causing substantial damage, which meant the beam had to be replaced. There was nothing impeding his vision had he been using his mirrors or looking in the direction in which he was moving. When Mr. Hines discovered the damage the next day he questioned witnesses and then conferred with Human Resources Manager Penny Evans. The decision was made to discharge the claimant, and he was notified at the beginning of his shift.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified. The judge concludes he is.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant knew his job was in jeopardy should another incident of reckless driving and damage to the employer's property occur. In less than five months another incident of structural damage occurred. There is no evidence the claimant intentionally damaged the support beams, but recurring negligence is the same as willful misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. The claimant is disqualified for conduct not in the best interests of the employer.

DECISION:

The representative's decision of October 20, 2005, reference 01, is affirmed. Steven Holliday is disqualified and benefits are withheld until he has earned ten times his weekly benefit amount provided he is otherwise eligible.

bgh/kjw