

**BEFORE THE
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
Lucas State Office Building, 4TH Floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
eab.iowa.gov**

BARB K OSWALT

Claimant

: **APPEAL NUMBER:** 23B-UI-03034

: **ALJ HEARING NUMBER:** 23A-UI-03034

:

and

:

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

:

DECISION

PROGRESS INDUSTRIES

:

:

Employer

:

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: .96.5-2-A, 96.3-7

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. With the following modification, the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED** with the following **MODIFICATION**:

The Board adds the following to the Reasoning and Conclusions of Law:

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits: Voluntary Quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Generally a quit is defined to be “a termination of employment initiated by the employee for any reason except mandatory retirement or transfer to another establishment of the same firm, or for service in the armed forces.” 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). Furthermore, Iowa Administrative Code 871—24.25 provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5.

Since the Employer had the burden of proving disqualification, the Employer had the burden of proving that a quit rather than a discharge has taken place. The Iowa Supreme Court has thus been explicit: “the employer has the burden of proving that a claimant’s departure from employment was voluntary.” *Irving v. EAB*, slip op at 57, No. 15-0104 (Iowa 6/3/2016)(amended 8/23/16); On the issue of whether a quit is for good cause attributable to the employer the Claimant had the burden of proof by statute. Iowa Code §96.6(2). “[Q]uitting requires an intention to terminate employment accompanied by an overt act carrying out the intent.” *FDL Foods, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Board*, 460 N.W.2d 885, 887 (Iowa App. 1990), *accord Peck v. Employment Appeal Board*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa App. 1992).

Ordinarily, "good cause" is derived from the facts of each case keeping in mind the public policy stated in Iowa Code section 96.2. *O'Brien v. EAB*, 494 N.W.2d 660, 662 (Iowa 1993)(citing *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986)). “The term encompasses real circumstances, adequate excuses that will bear the test of reason, just grounds for the action, and always the element of good faith.” *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986) “[C]ommon sense and prudence must be exercised in evaluating all of the circumstances that lead to an employee's quit in order to attribute the cause for the termination.” *Id.* Where multiple reasons for the quit, which are attributable to the employment, are presented the agency must “consider that all the reasons combined may constitute good cause for an employee to quit, if the reasons are attributable to the employer”. *McCunn v. EAB*, 451 N.W.2d 510 (Iowa App. 1989)(citing *Taylor v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 362 N.W.2d 534 (Iowa 1985)). “Good cause attributable to the employer” does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. *Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Board*, 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)(“[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith”); *Shontz v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (Iowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer “free from fault”); *Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (Iowa 1956)(“The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer.”). Good cause may be attributable to “the employment itself” rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. *E.g. Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (Iowa 1956).

Here the Claimant clearly quit as of March 10, 2023. The reasons asserted for the quit are not good cause *attributable to the employment*. This being the case the Claimant is disqualified for quitting as of March 10, 2023. This disqualification stands even if no misconduct had been proven. This means that even if misconduct had *not* been shown, the Claimant would be allowed only from the date of termination to the date of the quit. 871 IAC 24.25(38). The Claimant filed during the week running from March 5 through March 11, 2023. The Claimant was paid benefits for this one week, and does not have to repay those benefits. Thus, whether she should get benefits that one week is moot. This means even if no misconduct had been proven,

the Claimant would be keeping the money she collected for the one week she asked for benefits prior to the effective date of her quit, and is denied from March 10 forward based on her quit.

James M. Strohman

Ashley R. Koopmans

Myron R. Linn

RRA/fnv