IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JOANNE M NEWTON 2455 BOIES ST SIOUX CITY IA 51109

AG PROCESSING INC A COOPERATIVE $^{\text{C}}/_{\text{O}}$ JOHNSON & ASSOC PO BOX 06007 OMAHA NE 68106-0007

RICHARD STURGEON PO BOX 3372 SIOUX CITY IA 51102-3372 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-08962-MT

OC: 07/25/04 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated August 18, 2004, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on October 8, 2004. Claimant participated and was represented by Richard Sturgeon. Employer participated by Lynn Corbeil, Staff Attorney Johnson and Associates, Carl Parker, Plant Operations Manager and Jim Sieler, Director of Labor Relations.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on July 23, 2004. Claimant quit because she thought she was being harassed, intimidated, threatened indirectly and had her privacy invaded. Claimant did make complaints about some of the matters. Claimant believed that the Plant Operations Manager was behind most of the issues and did not see any use in complaining. Claimant believed her password had been stolen through use of a surveillance camera. No camera existed. Claimant believed that there were implied threats when overhearing other employees talking about fighting and mentioning the EEOC. Claimant exhibited an overreaction to many comments made by coworkers. Claimant was very paranoid and believed everybody was out to get her. This is no concrete evidence that anyone was out to get her. Claimant stopped coming to work on July 23, 2004. Employer tried to convince claimant to go to employee assistance or human resources. Claimant refused to avail herself of these opportunities and instead quit.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer. The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has failed to establish that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because of dissatisfaction with the work environment and a conflict with the supervisor. The allegations of harassment intimidation, threats and privacy invasion were not supported by anything other than claimant's testimony. Employer's testimony is sufficiently credible to defeat claimant's burden of proof. With a lack of corroborating testimony, claimant has failed to prove a detrimental work environment. Benefits withheld.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(2), (21), (22) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (2) The claimant moved to a different locality.
- (21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.
- (22) The claimant left because of a personality conflict with the supervisor.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated August 18, 2004, reference 01, is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

mdm\kjf