

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ROGER D WARREN**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-10566-S2T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**L A LEASING INC  
SEDONA STAFFING**  
Employer

**OC: 05/27/12  
Claimant: Respondent (4/R)**

Section 96.5-1-d – Voluntary Quit for Medical Reasons  
Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

L A Leasing (employer) appealed a representative's August 27, 2012 decision (reference 01) that concluded Roger Warren (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for September 24, 2012. The claimant participated personally and through his wife, Cynthia Warren. The employer participated by Sammy Teel, Account Manager, and Maria Mays, Risk Administrative Assistant. The claimant offered and Exhibit A was received into evidence.

**ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason and whether the claimant is able and available for work.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on July 7, 2009, as a temporary worker. His last assignment ended on January 20, 2012. He notified the employer that he had a medical issue and the employer consented to his absence. On May 18, 2012, the employer received a release for the claimant to return to work without restrictions. The claimant was supposed to call in to request work each day. The claimant did not call in to request work until June 26, 2012. At that point there was no work for the claimant.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). A claimant is not disqualified for leaving employment if he or she (1) left employment by reason of illness, injury or pregnancy; (2) on the advice of a licensed and practicing physician; (3) and immediately notified the employer or the employer consented to the absence; (4) and when certified as recovered by a physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered services but the regular or comparable suitable work was not available. Area Residential Care, Inc. v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 323 N.W.2d 257 (Iowa 1982). A "recovery" under Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d means a complete recovery without restriction. Hedges v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 368 N.W.2d 862 (Iowa App. 1985).

The claimant left work due to medical issue under the advice of his physician. The employer consented to his leaving. The claimant provided the employer with certification that he has recovered. The claimant failed to offer his services to the employer for more than a month after he provided the release to the employer. The claimant has failed to meet the requirements of the statute by providing the release and offering his services within a reasonable period of time. He is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits until the benefit week ending June 30, 2012.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits

were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The claimant has received benefits since filing the claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits may now constitute an overpayment. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

**DECISION:**

The representative's August 27, 2012 decision (reference 01) is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits as of the benefit week ending June 30, 2012. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

---

Beth A. Scheetz  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css