

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JILL A PETSCHKE
1330 20TH AVE SW
CEDAR RAPIDS IA 52404

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-00143-AT
OC: 12-14-03 R: 03
Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 – Work Search Warning

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jill A. Petsche filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated December 30, 2003, reference 02, which issued a warning to her upon a finding that she had made only one in person job contact during the week ending December 27, 2003. After reviewing all matters of record, the administrative law judge concludes that no testimony is necessary.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: Jill A. Petsche made only one job contact during the week ending December 27, 2003. That contact resulted in a job offer which Ms. Petsche accepted. She has filed no weekly claims for benefits since the week in question.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. The administrative law judge concludes that it should because the evidence establishes that the claimant's work search effort during the week in question resulted in a job offer and acceptance. Under these circumstances, it would be inappropriate to require a second job contact.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated December 30, 2003, reference 02, is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

sb/kjf